

17 August 2022

Hon Peter Dutton MP
Leader of the Opposition
Federal Member for Dickson
PO Box 2012
STRATHPINE QLD 4500

I write regarding the exclusive article *"Schools reform a Dutton priority"*, The Weekend Australian Newspaper, July 2 – 3, 2022. The Council for the National Interest (CNI) has lobbied strongly for many years with the objective of restoring Australia's school teaching standards and consequently seriously raising education standards and student performance.

It follows, therefore, that CNI supports many of the policy proposals that are attributed to you in the article. In the desire to assist you to achieve these policy changes, in due course, I enclose:

02 July 2021 CNI SUBMISSION – REVIEW OF CURRICULUM

09 July 2022 RESIST THE CULTURE WARS FOR YOUR CHILDREN'S SAKE, News Weekly.
This is a Q & A between Dr Kevin Donnelly, who runs an education think tank, the Education Standards Institute and is a renowned author of many books on education and Patrick J Byrne, National President of the National Civic Council.

The Q and A provides a wealth of knowledge about the Australian Education system and we hope it will help illuminate your education policy development.

The article in the Australian, referred to above, also comments on *"....energy and climate policy..."* and attributes the following to you, quote *"While acknowledging that the market was directing change in the energy mix, he said the Coalition would maintain its current position on "sensible" emissions reductions that didn't harm the economy."*

The ONLY sensible emissions reduction that does NOT harm the economy is a NIL reduction of carbon dioxide (CO₂) in the atmosphere.

The enclosed CNI FACT SHEET – CARBON DIOXIDE (CO₂) demonstrates, irrefutably, that CO₂ is not a pollutant and does not warm the Earth but is essential for plant, animal and human life on Earth and currently exists at near starvation levels.

The virtually world-wide aim of net-zero emissions of CO₂ by 2050 is NOT supported by science and is not achievable. It is foolish for Australia to pursue such a goal. Australia should stand up now for what is scientifically factual even though this will not be liked by key Western Allies. Some such European allies have been forced to disregard their emissions reduction commitment and turn back to coal due to the Russian invasion of Ukraine.

There are many factors that influence the temperature of the Earth such as solar cycle activity and volcanic activity. One thing that is scientifically proven is that over millions of years a higher temperature is followed a hundred years or more by an increase in atmospheric CO₂ levels and vice-versa. NASA Goddard Institute for space studies, January 18, 2011.

For confirmation of the scientific fallacy of reducing CO₂ in the atmosphere to net-zero by 2050 or by 43% by 2030 as Federal Labor is currently pushing through the Parliament or by 60% as targeted by the 'teals' or whatever, I enclose details of a video **Climate Change Scam – Alan Jones Interviews Dr Nils-Axel Morner** which exposes the lies being promoted by the UN Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). Dr Nils-Axel Morner resigned in disgust from the role of IPCC Chair for the Sea Level Committee due to the IPCC dishonesty.

From an 'energy mix' point of view, coal fired power stations, using clean coal technology, will, over the long term, provide cheap, reliable energy with the benefit to the planet of emitting much needed CO₂ into the atmosphere.

The cost of coal has risen due, largely, to the tragic Russian invasion of Ukraine. Australia is blessed with huge coal resources and the Government should take steps to direct these to the establishment of clean coal technology coal fired power stations at a controlled coal price to guarantee a reliable energy supply and bring down Australia's energy costs and encourage manufacturing industries to grow again so that Australia can become a self-secure nation again.

Renewable energy should be left to prove itself without any more Government subsidies.

I strongly urge that, in building your policy plans for the future election, you introduce a benefit for families where one parent remains home to care for and nurture their children. The amount should be no less than what the Government pays parents to help cover child care costs and thus eliminate the unfair discrimination against 'stay at home' parents.

We will welcome your views

D
e
n
i
s

J