Council For The National Interest

PO Box 653, Belmont WA 6984 0418 742 701 0430 147 049 admin@cniwa.com.au www.cniwa.com.au ABN 43 775 878 315

Newsletter November 2017



Introduction:

The forum held on Sunday 8 October with presenter Bill Muehlenberg on the topic of Cultural Marxism was well attended with numbers overflowing the predicted seating arrangement. The topic was evidently appealing as many younger persons and new attendees were noticeable among the audience. Cultural Marxism is a social sickness pervading western society and a lack of understanding of it's detrimental effect is evident by the unquestionable acceptance of cultural attacks on our symbols of national unity. While there are numerous examples the most recent is the undermining of the Special Air Services success in Afghanistan and Iraq by rumour and innuendo with an investigation pursued over the past 18 months. The tradition of Australian Anzacs and the celebration of Australia Day are cultural symbols under attack by cultural marxists as they rampage through the institutions following the Gramsci formula.

Bill Muehlenberg was hosted by Saint Augustine's on 27 October to present the same forum which enabled a second opportunity for several CNI members to hear Bill's message first hand. Generally Australians are unaware of the insidious penetration of cultural marxism through our universities, churches, political parties, schools, media and sporting institutions. The CNI promotes forums to encourage everyone to open their eyes to the world and be receptive to a better way of living by education and questioning the status quo. Listen to your elected representatives to determine those who expound cultural marxism by word, action and deed, starting from those in the highest political posts in the land.

Forum:

The next CNI forum is scheduled for Sunday 26 November at the RPYC in Crawley Bay on the topic of the National Energy Market, sub titled "Three easy Ways to Destroy a Perfectly Good Electricity Grid", presented by Joanne Nova, famed science commentator, writer, former TV host, and author of The Skeptics Handbook. Refer to the flyer included in this newsletter. She will present a confronting composite of information to highlight the idiocy of our current energy crisis with a lot of information not presented by the main stream media nor discussed by our political puppet masters.

Australian Prudential Regulatory Authority:

APRA has suddenly been thrust into the limelight by Treasurer Scott Morrison with his Financial Sector Legislation Amendment (Crisis Resolution Powers and Other Measures) Bill 2017. Without fanfare the Treasurer is attempting to provide APRA with crisis powers and hoping the Bill will pass through the house without undue delay or circumspection. This Bill is setting up the Australian banking depositor to salvage the banks with "bail-in" legislation laws for the inevitable banking collapse that no one wants to openly discuss. Bail-in is a confiscation of depositors savings to bail-out the bankrupt banks as experienced by bank depositors in Cyprus.

APRA is going to be given crisis power to manage a banking collapse greater than 2008.

Evidently there is a crisis on the horizon from the tenor of the Bill without the need in this newsletter to quote figures to support such a proposition, as action speaks louder than words. This crisis legislation will empower APRA to save the banks from themselves at all costs. Unfortunately for depositors APRA is an organisation representing the Banks, not the people or government. APRA is funded by levies paid by the institutions it supervises, he who pays the piper, calls the tune.

The way out of this predicament is legislation similar the successful American Glass-Steagall Act, 1933, which protected Americans from predatory banking behaviour for 66 years until Wall Street banker's conniving opened the pathway to the 2008 collapse. Australia needs to separate ordinary banking from speculative banking effectively isolating the depositor from the greedy bankers and international money brokers who have created this coming crisis.

Additionally the Australian government has to take control of the nation's financial destiny by establishing a national bank and resume the responsibilities once undertaken by the Commonwealth Bank of Australia,

"backed by the entire wealth and credit of the whole of Australia" as quoted by Denison Miller, first governor of the CBA, 20 January 1913.

Until then Australia's currency and financial condition will be subject to the predatory manipulation by bankers in Switzerland, London and New York. Sovereign credit control must be the responsibility of the Australian Parliament and not the globalist bankers.

Landgate:

There is no limit to the opportunities for bankers and bureaucrats to disadvantage the Australian people by privatising government organisations for their own gain, all for the benefit of the taxpayer of course. The short term gain is always magnified and the long term pain ignored. The WA Labor Government does not have a mandate to sell off profitable government institutions. Labor benefited from a backlash from poor budget control by the Liberals that does not translate into selling off data critical *Landgate*.

The Government must maintain sovereign control over the *Landgate* data base for purposes of cyber security and the ongoing provision of services. Allowing a foreign entity to secure access to privileged cyber data regarding land transactions and ownership would not be in the best interests of Australian citizens.

Retired *Landgate* employees have highlighted in letters to newspapers their concern about escalating cost of services to citizens and diminished counter service at Midland to the extent that enquiries normally covered by *Landgate* have been referred to other organisations.

Landgate has the potential to be a first class cash cow charging all the government departments currently using Landgate, at fair and reasonable costs, with escalated prices for valuable data.

The WA Police routinely use the registry for criminal enquiries as does agriculture and bio-security departments plus surveyors, valuers, urban developers, conveyancers, universities and numerous other existing customers.

Short term gain and painful uncontrolled costs carried by the entire community is the likely outcome. Former lands minister Terry Redman has already sounded the alarm with a write up by Brad Thompson in the Australian Financial Review dated 19 October 2017.

The sales of NSW and SA land registry has highlighted the potential for property fraud, data security and monopoly power of operators with criticism from unions and user groups. Security of land tenure is the cornerstone of our economy and should not be subject to increased risk. For further reference visit website: http://www.concernedtitlesgroup.com.au/

Aboriginal Sovereignty:

This issue of sovereignty is raising its ugly head with taxpayers funding talkfests by aboriginal activists promoting aboriginal sovereign rights over land and resources. To underplay the seriousness of this issue reflects a lack of understanding of the consequences of tampering with the Constitution for the benefit of a small group of Australians.

Recommended reading is **Keith Windschuttle's 470 page book titled "The Break-Up of Australia, The real agenda behind Aboriginal recognition."** Keith has assembled a comprehensive rebuttal of the whole concept of aboriginal sovereignty which is the real goal of aboriginal activists.

The Australian Constitution is a set of rules by which 6 sovereign colonial states agreed to accept a central government under the British monarchy. Any amendments to the constitution opens up potential for judicial activism. The Canadian experience allowed judges to "fill in the blank spaces" and preambles provides potential judicial interpretation of the body of the constitution.

Gullible Australians are being manipulated by academics and judicial activist judges. The 1992 Mabo High Court decision was a political ruling not a legal ruling. The Court used the term "terra nullius" which did not exist in law until 1975 when the United Nations International Court of Justice used the term relating to the concept of sovereignty and not land ownership. No sovereign state of any nature existed on the Australian continent in 1788, therefore English Law took effect upon colonisation. It was not until 2004 that the incorrect use of the term became known when historian Michael Connor determined the phrase, "terra nullius", was unknown to 18th & 19th century Australian colonists.

The referendum of 1967 removed "other than the aboriginal race in any state" thereby over riding state responsibility for "the people of any race", Section 51 (xxvi). This section was included in the constitution to accommodate WA & Qld limiting aboriginal voting rights to those who held property. Aboriginals had been voting in all colonial states before Federation in 1901. The framers of the constitution did not want to delay acceptance of the draft by all states over some differences in state voting rights for aboriginals. Activists who loudly proclaim they gained voting rights in 1967 have created a false narrative.

Fifty years of federal management of aboriginal affairs has not been an outstanding success, firstly under Whitlam and then Fraser, who promoted outstations and homelands for aboriginals. This was a adoption of land rights and autonomy against a policy of assimilation which prior to 1970 meant skills development and transition from nomadic to sedentary lifestyle.

Apart from a genetic inheritance today's aboriginals have virtually no connection to the culture of aboriginals prior to British colonial settlement. Described by Thomas Hobbes portrayal of nomadic life as "nasty, brutish and short" was in keeping with W.E.H Stanner's witness to inter-tribal conflict in 1932.

Aboriginals today are far removed from the nomadic culture of their forebears. Academic historians are rewriting history to exaggerate conflict and create concepts which did not exist at the time of colonisation. The reality is that aboriginals were attracted to the early settlers as a source of food, implements, security and protection from tribal conflicts. Today the nation suffers from entrenched intergenerational welfare dependancy as 3% of the population cost \$30 billion (2012-13) or 6.1% of total government expenditure. Changes to the constitution will create divisions in Australian society in attempting to provide special rights for aboriginals based upon race. Keith Windschuttle has produced an important book at a time of potential disintegration of our nation's cultural fabric.

Trans-Genderism:

Changing genders does not fix the underlying psychological issues associated with gender identity. Dr Paul McHugh of John Hopkins Medical University initiated a study after ten years of gender surgery at Hopkins in 1975. The review by Dr Jon Meyer resulted in the Gender Identity Clinic being closed. The conclusion of the review was surgery was inappropriate, it was better to concentrate on trying to fix minds and not genitalia. Unfortunately the medical profession has a history of persisting with money making medical procedures until some circumstance forces a change of the phenomenon.

The story of lobotomy has striking parallels to gender surgery. Starting in 1936 lobotomy procedures continued through to 1986 leaving a tragic trail of over 40,000 cases. Dr Walter Freeman was known as "the ice pick doctor" performed 3500 lobotomies using the technique of inserting an ice pick type instrument under the eyelid through the tear duct, piercing the skull bone by hammering, then pushing the steel point into the frontal lobe of the brain. By Dr Freeman's own figures he estimated a 33% success rate. Unfortunately the 67% failures included patients left in a vegetative state. This horrendous procedure fell out of favour with the development of new pharmaceutical drugs in the late 1980's.

Gender surgery under Dr Harry Benjamin and Dr John Money at John Hopkins Gender Identity Clinic in 1965 promoted sex change, or later named gender reassignment. It is medical fraud to state a knife can change a person's gender or hormone therapy will alter the basic DNA structure of male or female. A DNA test is the only scientific test to determine gender, not psychological analysis. Courts convict male rapists and murderers on the strength of DNA test evidence alone.

No one involved in this surgical manipulation raises the issue of a suicide rate of 30-50% of transgender patients, either before or years after the surgery. Although the Hopkins clinic was closed in 1975 Dr John Money continued the procedures for another 20 years. His most tragic result was the twin David Reimer born in 1965 who underwent radical surgery as a result of a circumcision gone wrong. In 2000 David and his brother Brian went public with the twins recounting pedophilic sexual abuse by Dr Money. Brian committed suicide in 2002 and David unfortunately followed in 2004. Stories of this nature are not uncommon in the world of sex change surgery.

Today the medical profession is complicit in the sex change industry feeding on a frenzy of trans gender publicity by the LGBTIQ social activists.

To understand the true nature of the disaster of trans-genderism recommended reading of books by Walt Heyer, a male to female to male sex change survivor, his book "Paper Genders" (because only on paper can you change gender) and "Gender, Lies and Suicide" will provide an insight into the disaster of medical mutilation.

The issue of trans gender has been raised to a level of importance in our culture as a result of the recent Australian Bureau of Statistics survey on the Australian public's view on same sex marriage (SSM). The Government neglected to explain all the consequences of the Yes/No vote which resulted in the Yes campaigners promoting love, fairness and equality with the No vote highlighting the consequences of such a change to the definition of male/female marriage.

If the definition of marriage is changed to "two people" the farcical situation arises whereby people can change their gender surgically, subsequently reverse the procedure, or simply claim a change of gender, as currently available, on your Australian passport. In the ACT the privileged residents of Canberra can change the gender on their birth certificates. The permutations and computations of such a change to marriage relationships undermines basic truth and honesty of accurate and factual records.

Who or what is married to whom or whatsoever?

Reversal or reconstructive surgery is a sad indictment on the medical profession with leading reconstructive surgeons such as Prof Miroslav Djordjevic of Belgrade confirming demand for his services over the past five years has grown to one a month including patients as young as a 12 year old Australian boy reversing back to a male at 14 years of age. (Refer The Telegraph & Breitbart News)

When will Australian taxpayers stop funding these medical procedures and promote psychological counselling of those suffering from gender dysphoria?

Moral Choices:

The endless attack on Australian culture is more evident today than at any time in our history, more so because our culture is being attacked on a multitude of fronts. The state of Victoria voted into power a socialist-marxist government readily accepting the invitation to create a socialist state. They have mandated compulsory Safe Schools Coalition Program to undermine parental authority. A Euthanasia Bill for voluntary assisted suicide has passed both houses and will be law by 2019 fulfilling the Fabian mandate to eliminate the useless in society. Victoria legalised same sex relationships in 2016 allowing changes to birth certificates. Pro-choice abortion laws were introduced into Victoria in 2008 to allow terminations up to 24 weeks gestation. Federally the nation appears to be following the same socialistic path as Victoria.

The Frankfurt School of the 1930's with Adorno, Horkheimer and Marcuse would be very pleased

with the success of modern socialists and Lenin's politically correct useful idiots.

Their Critical Theory to change society as a whole expounded the creation of racial offences, the teaching of sex and homosexuality in schools to undermine parental authority, undermine school and teacher authority, promote huge immigration to destroy national identity, emptying of churches, create an unreliable legal system with a bias against the victim of crime, increase dependency on the state or state benefits, control and dumbing down of mainstream media and encourage the breakdown of family.

Do any of these objectives resonate with Australian society today?

The goals of marxism were to destroy the family, destroy private property, destroy religion and destroy the nation. Saul Alinsky (1909-1972) Chicago organiser, once quoted: "I feel confident I could persuade a millionaire on Friday to subsidise a revolution for Saturday out of which he would make a huge profit on Sunday even though he was certain to be executed on Monday."

The moral decay in our free enterprise democratic society appears to be gaining ground as if on a roller coaster, or will Australian society regain the moral high ground upon which the nation was founded and

apply the brakes?